

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
	09/660,568	09/11/2000	David Ralph	UROC:014USD1	1840	
	759	90 06/02/2003		·		
	Richard A Nak			EXAMINER		
٠		venue Suite 1900.		MCGARR	Y, SEAN	
•	Austin, TX 787	/01		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1635	9	
•				DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>Ľ</b>	ì						
	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)					
		660,568	RALPH ET AL.					
Office Action Summa	Exa	miner	Art Unit					
	Sea	n R McGarry	1635					
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears	on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠ · Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <u>17 March</u>	<u>2003</u> .	•					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the			tters, prosecution as to the merits i D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is				
Disposition of Claims	a nanding in the appli	·						
4) Claim(s) 8-26,64 and 65 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-26 and 65</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed								
6)	-							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected		tion requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers		non requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to	•							
10) The drawing(s) filed oni		-						
Applicant may not request that a	-		` <i>'</i>					
11) The proposed drawing correction	<del>-</del>	, ,	isapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings								
12) The oath or declaration is object	<u>-</u>	<b>л.</b>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12			C 440(=) (-1) = (5)	•				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a		ity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (t).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Non								
1. Certified copies of the p	·		antication No					
2. Certified copies of the p	•		<del></del>					
	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the fore</li> <li>15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a</li> </ul>	• • • •	, ,						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action S	ummary	Part of Paper No. 9	<del></del>				

Art Unit: 1635

## **DETAILED ACTION**



Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims I8-20 and 64, and species metastatic cancer and SEQ ID NO: 49, in Paper No. 8, filed 3/17/03 is acknowledged.

Claims 21-26 and 65 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 8.

Claims 8, 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heidenreich et al [Cancer Vol. 43:1308-1313, 1979].

Heidenreich et al have disclosed the detection of malignant breast cancer cell markers and compared them with the level in normal cells. See Tables 1-4 and discussion section, for example.

Claims 8-20 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

<u>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</u>, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought,

Art Unit: 1635

he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See <u>Vas-Cath</u> at page 1116.)

The instant invention is broadly drawn to the detection of disease markers expressed in peripheral blood. The scope includes the detection of markers for metastatic cancers including breast and prostate cancers. The specification, as filed, discloses 7 "markers" (nucleic acid sequences) associated with metastatic prostate cancer expressed in peripheral blood of prostate cancer patients. The specification also identifies IL-8 and IL-10 expression in peripheral blood to be associated with metastatic prostate cancer.

With the exception of the markers indicated above the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed polynucleotides markers required to perform the instant methods regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. The instant specification does not provide "markers" other than those indicated above and one in the art, based on the structure of those would not be able to envision the structure (sequence) of any other "markers" (mRNA) that may be associated with the broad scope of diseases considered in the instant invention. Without a description of such markers, one in the art would not be able to produce primers or probes for any particular marker associated with any particular disease, for example.

Art Unit: 1635

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it. The nucleic acid itself is required. See <u>Fiers v. Revel</u>, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and <u>Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.</u>, 18 USPQ2d 1016. In <u>Fiddes v. Baird</u>, 30 USPQ2d 1481, 1483, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found unpatentable due to lack of written description for the broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co., 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1404, 1405 held that:

...To fulfill the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention." *Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc.*, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (1997); In *re Gosteli*, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012, 10 USPQ2d 1614, 1618 (Fed. Cir. 1989) (" [T]he description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed."). Thus, an applicant complies with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." *Lockwood*, 107 F.3d at 1572, 41 USPQ2d at 1966.

Art Unit: 1635

An adequate written description of a DNA, such as the cDNA of the recombinant plasmids and microorganisms of the '525 patent, "requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties," not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention. *Fiers v. Revel*, 984 F.2d 1164, 1171, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, "an adequate written description of a DNA requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it; what is required is a description of the DNA itself." Id. at 1170, 25 USPQ2d at 1606.

The name cDNA is not itself a written description of that DNA; it conveys no distinguishing information concerning its identity. While the example provides a process for obtaining human insulin-encoding cDNA, there is no further information in the patent pertaining to that cDNA's relevant structural or physical characteristics; in other words, it thus does not describe human insulin cDNA. Describing a method of preparing a cDNA or even describing the protein that the cDNA encodes, as the example does, does not necessarily describe the cDNA itself. No sequence information indicating which nucleotides constitute human cDNA appears in the patent, as appears for rat cDNA in Example 5 of the patent. Accordingly, the specification does not provide a written description of the invention of claim 5.

Art Unit: 1635

The instant specification provides guidance for one in the art to find markers, but does not describe a representative number to show possession of the claimed invention. The markers disclosed in the specification are associated with prostate cancer, which fails to provide a description of markers for breast cancer or any of the vast number of disease states that would be included in a group described as ""a human disease state". The instant specification has not provided an adequate written description of any markers for any disease other than metastatic cancer (prostate). The specification fails to describe those marker sequences that the invention requires to be quantified, for example. The detection of IL-10 or SEQ ID NO: 49 have been shown to be associated with metastatic prostate cancer but the specification fails to describe what disease other than metastatic prostate cancer can be detected by quantifying IL-10 or SEQ ID NO: 49 in peripheral blood, for example. The specification fails to provide a correlation between the structure and function of IL-10 or SEQ ID NO: 49 and any other diseases that may be detected by quantification of their expression in peripheral blood, for example.

The instant invention is based on the detection of markers that are differentially expressed in the peripheral blood in a patient with a disease relative to expression in a normal subject. Although the instant specification provides methods of identifying such markers, a sufficient number of markers have not been described to show possession of the broad scope instantly claimed.

Art Unit: 1635

Claims 8-20 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims are rejected for those reasons set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R McGarry whose telephone number is (703)305-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SRM May 29, 2003

SEAN MCGARRY SEAN MCGARRY SOIMARY EXAMINER